



JUNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,196	11/19/2001	John A. Hayduke	2902-3002-001	5729
7:	590 04/18/2003			
REISING, ETHINGTON, BARNES, KISSELLE, LEARMAN & McCULLOCH, P.C. P.O. Box 4390			EXAMINER	
			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
Troy, MI 48099-4390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		,
Offic Action Summary	09/992,196	HAYDUKE, JOHN A.
ome nemen cumun,	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Camie S Thompson	th the correspondence address
eriod f r Reply	ppeare on the outer enect in	., .,,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become AB/	pply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	
closed in accordance with the practice und disposition of Claims	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application	ion	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	t/or election requirement	
pplication Papers	aror election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by th	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	oplication No
Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·	
a) The translation of the foreign language part 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has be	een received.
ttachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3

. Application/Control Number: 09/992,196

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made..
- 2. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finley, U.S. Patent Number 6,054,207 in view of Summers et al., U.S. Patent Number 4,100,325. Finley discloses an extruded composite comprising a thermoplastic polymer and wood fiber wherein the wood fiber can be sawdust as per instant claims 1, 6, 16, 19 and 29 (see abstract and column 2, lines 35-54). Finley also discloses the use of both condensation polymeric materials such as thermoplastic polyurethanes and vinyl polymers as per instant claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 16, 17, 20 and 29 (see column 5, lines 15-45). Although the reference does not provide any examples of the use of acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS) as the substrate, Finley does disclose vinyl polymers that can be used as alloys of polyvinyl chloride and acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS). Finley does not disclose amount of ABS terpolymer resin present, the amount of the polar thermosetting material such as the polyurethanes, the amount of PVC or that the capstock material is made by coextruding PVC with the substrate as per instant claims 1, 3, 8, 16 and 21. Summers teaches weather resistant products that are made by coextruding a plasticized vinyl chloride capstock with a substrate of a acrylonitrile-butadiene styrene polymer as per instant claims 1, 9-10, 16, 22-23 and 29 (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a PVC capstock coextruded with the substrate blend in the Finley

. Application/Control Number: 09/992,196

Art Unit: 1774

reference in order to retain the appearance and slow down the impact on aging of the composite (see Summers abstract).

However, the Finley reference does disclose that polymer blends improve the physical property of the composite as shown in column 6, lines 56-58. The varying amounts of the PVC, ABS terpolymer and the thermoplastic polyurethanes affect the rigidity, strength and processability of the composite. Discovery of optimum values of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art in re Boesch, 617 F2. 2d 272, 205 ISPQ 215 (CCPA). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the ABS terpolymer resin present in an amount from 20 to 75 weight percent, the thermoplastic polyurethanes present in an amount of less than 15 weight percent and the PVC present in an amount less than 15 weight percent in order to improve the physical properties of the composite. Also, Finley discloses that the amount of wood fiber present in the composite is 20 to 40 weight percent as per instant claims 1, 5, 16, 18 and 29 (see Finley reference, summary Table, column 11). The Finley reference discloses that the moisture content of the composite is between 0.01 and 5%, preferably less than 1.5 weight % at the time of mixing as per instant claims 11, 14, 24 and 27 (see column 5, lines 1-12). Additionally, Finley discloses that the composite material may contain additional filler as per instant claims 15 and 28 (see column 2, lines 58-60). Claims 9, 12-13, 22 and 25-26 are productby-process claims. Even though product-by-process claims are limited and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a different product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art was made by a different process. See MPEP 2113.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,196

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached at (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the Group are (703) 872-9310 {before finals} and (703) 872-9311 {after finals}.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

MARIE YAMNITZKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Maie R. Jamaitsky

1114